arXiv:1611.02582v1 [math.NT] 8 Nov 2016

A note on Picard curves of CM-type

Joan-C. Lario and Anna Somoza

November 9, 2016

Abstract

We extend the list of Picard curves of CM-type given by Koike and Weng [8]. In particular, our list includes defining equations for the complete list of Picard curves of CM-type defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ up to isomorphism. To this end, we improve their algorithm in some aspects: one needs to precise a root of unity in an accurate application of a Thomae-like formula well-suited for the Picard case, and also use an optimized strategy to evaluate the Riemann theta-series involved.

1 Introduction

Given a principally polarized abelian variety over $\mathbb{C}$, the Schottky problem asks whether it arises as Jacobian of a certain algebraic curve. The *effective* version of the problem also seeks to determine such a curve whenever there is one.

By counting dimensions of the moduli space of curves of genus g and the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g, one realizes that the Schottky problem has a positive answer for genus $g = 1$, 2, and 3. Consequently, the effective version is an interesting question in these cases. Here, we focus on a special case of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension 3 studied by Picard [12], and also by Shiga, Koike, Weng et al. [7, 8, 10, 13]. We address the effective version of the question in cases with presence of complex multiplication.

Our improvements of the algorithm described by Koike and Weng [8] allow us to re-obtain their results and extend the list of Picard curves of CM-type to all associated CM sextic fields with class number ≤ 4 , obtaining twenty-three new curves, displayed in Section 4. The corresponding CM number fields are collected from [11]. The computations have been performed using Sage, and the code will be available on GitHub.

Notations and conventions. Through the paper we adopt the following terminology:

- K denotes a sextic cyclic CM field containing the third roots of unity. We write $K = K_0(\zeta_3)$, where K_0 is the totally real cubic field in K and $\zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3)$.
- The tuple (K, Φ) will denote a primitive CM-type. Under our assumptions, K has six primitive CM-types and each one of them gives rise to the same set of isomorphism classes of simple polarized abelian varieties of CM-type by the ring of integers of K (see [1, Proposition 2]). Therefore, without loss of generality, we can (and do) assume $\Phi = \{1, \sigma, \sigma^2\}$, where σ is a generator of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.
- The matrices in the Siegel upper half space $\mathbf{H}_3 = \{Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_3(\mathbb{C}) : Z^t = Z, \mathrm{Im}(Z) > 0\}$ will be usually denoted by Ω. They can be seen as representatives of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties. To a matrix Ω in $\mathbf{H}_3$ one associates the lattice Λ_Ω generated by the columns of the matrix (Ω, Id_3). Such representatives give rise to the same isomorphism class if and only if they are equivalent under the symplectic action of $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbf{H}_3$.

2 Picard curves and Thomae's formula

A Picard curve C defined over $\mathbb{C}$ can be given by an affine model

$$C\colon y^3 = f(x)$$

where f is a polynomial of degree 4 in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Moreover, up to isomorphism, we can assume that f has four different roots, two of which are 0 and 1 [3, Appendix I]. We shall write the equation as:

$$y^3 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)(x-\mu).$$

Our goal is as follows: given a principally polarized abelian variety A of CM-type by a sextic cyclic number field K, we want to compute the values of λ and μ such that the Picard curve $C\colon y^3 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)(x-\mu)$ solves the effective Schottky problem for the abelian variety A. Our approach follows closely the exposition in [8, Section 4], improving some points that enable us to manufacture a larger list of Picard curves of CM-type. To begin with, we assume that our abelian variety A of CM-type by K is given by a normalized period matrix $\Omega \in \mathbf{H}_3$. The values λ and μ can be recovered from values of the Riemann theta functions associated to Ω.

Let us recall the definitions of the Riemann theta functions and their basic properties for the g dimensional case. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$ and $\Omega \in \mathbf{H}_g$, one considers the Riemann theta function

$$\theta(z,\Omega) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i n^t \Omega n + 2\pi i n^t z).$$

Given $x = (x_1, x_2)$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^g$ one considers the Riemann theta function with characteristics

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} (z,\Omega) = \exp(\pi i x_1^t \Omega x_1 + 2\pi i x_1^t (z+x_2))\theta(z+\Omega x_1 + x_2, \Omega)\,. \tag{1}$$

Since we usually evaluate it at $z = 0$, we will use the notation

$$\theta[x](\Omega) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} (0,\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.1. *The Riemann theta function with characteristics satisfies the following properties:*

(i) It is symmetric with respect to x, that is

$$\theta[x](\Omega) = \theta[-x](\Omega)\,. \tag{2}$$

(ii) It is quasi-periodic, meaning that for $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ one has

$$\theta[x+m](\Omega) = \exp(2\pi i x_1 m_2)\theta[x](\Omega)\,. \tag{3}$$

Remark 2.1. *Every point in the complex torus $\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_\Omega$, attached to the period matrix Ω, has a unique representative $P = \Omega\, x_1 + x_2$, with $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$ and $x_i \in [0,1)^g$. We shall refer to x as the* reduced coordinates *of P. Any other representative of P has coordinates $x+m$ with $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, and the values $\theta[x](\Omega)$ and $\theta[x+m](\Omega)$ differ by the root of unity given in (3) above.*

From now on, let C be a (projective irreducible smooth) curve defined over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus g. Let $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ denote its Jacobian variety. We chose a normalized period matrix Ω so that $\mathrm{Jac}(C) \simeq \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda_\Omega$; equivalently, we chose a symplectic basis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g \in H_1(C,\mathbb{Z})$ and the unique basis of regular differentials $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g)$ in $\Omega^1(C)$ such that

$$\int_{\beta_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

In that situation, we fix a base point P in C and define the reduced Abel-Jacobi map as follows:

$$\alpha : C \to [0,1)^{2g}, \quad Q \mapsto \text{ reduced coordinates of } \int_P^Q \omega \pmod{\Lambda_\Omega}\,.$$

From now on, given a divisor $D = \sum P_i$ of C, whenever we write $\alpha(D)$ we mean the sum of the reduced coordinates $\alpha(P_i)$ but the final sum should not be reduced. It can also be interpreted as the corresponding point in the Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$.

Theorem 2.1. *([12, 15]) Keep the above notations. Let ϕ be a meromorphic function on C, and let*

$$(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i$$

be the divisor defined by ϕ. Choose paths from a the base point P to A_i and B_i such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_P^{A_i} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_P^{B_i} \omega.$$

Given a general divisor $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_g$, one has

$$\phi(D) = \phi(P_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \phi(P_g) = E \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\theta(\sum_{j=1}^{g} \int_P^{P_j} \omega - \int_P^{A_i} \omega - \Delta, \Omega)}{\theta(\sum_{j=1}^{g} \int_P^{P_j} \omega - \int_P^{B_i} \omega - \Delta, \Omega)},$$

where E is a constant independent of D, the integrals from P to P_j take the same paths both in the numerator and the denominator, and Δ stands for the Riemann constant.

The above theorem applies to the case of C being a Picard curve over $\mathbb{C}$. To this end, we fix a model $y^3 = f(x) = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)(x-\mu)$ for C, and consider the branch points $P_0 = (0,0)$, $P_1 = (1,0)$, $P_\lambda = (\lambda, 0)$, $P_\mu = (\mu, 0)$, and P_∞ at infinity. We choose P_∞ as base point in the Abel-Jacobi map α.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\phi\colon C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be the meromorphic function given by $\phi(x,y) = x$, which has as divisor $(\phi) = 3\,P_0 - 3\,P_\infty$. Then, for every general divisor $D = R_1 + R_2 + R_3$, we have*

$$\phi(D) = \phi(R_1)\phi(R_2)\phi(R_3) = E\,\varepsilon(D) \left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(D) - \alpha(P_0) - \Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(D) - \Delta](\Omega)} \right)^3,$$

where $\varepsilon(D) = \exp(6\pi i(\alpha(D) - \alpha(P_0) - \Delta)_1 \alpha(P_0)_2)$ and E is a constant independent of D.

Proof. In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we choose paths γ_k from P_∞ to P_0, for $k = 1, 2, 3$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{\gamma_k} \omega = 0\,. \tag{4}$$

Then, we have

$$\phi(D) = \phi(R_1)\phi(R_2)\phi(R_3) = E \prod_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\theta(\alpha(D) - \int_{\gamma_k} \omega - \Delta, \Omega)}{\theta(\alpha(D) - \Delta, \Omega)}$$

for some constant E independent of D. If we switch to characteristics, by using the transformation formula (1), we get

$$\phi(D) = E' \prod_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\theta[\alpha(D) - \int_{\gamma_k} \omega - \Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(D) - \Delta](\Omega)} \tag{5}$$

where E' is another constant that one checks it still does not depend on D. Letting $u = \int_{\gamma_1} \omega = \Omega u_1 + u_2$, we have:

$$\int_{\gamma_2} \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_2} \omega - \int_{\gamma_1} \omega}_{\in \Lambda_\Omega} = \Omega(u_1 + m_1) + u_2 + m_2, \quad m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$

$$\int_{\gamma_3} \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_3} \omega - \int_{\gamma_1} \omega}_{\in \Lambda_\Omega} = \Omega(u_1 + n_1) + u_2 + n_2, \quad n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}\,.$$

Then, applying the quasi-periodicity (3), we get that (5) becomes

$$\phi(D) = \phi(R_1)\phi(R_2)\phi(R_3) = E' \frac{\exp(2\pi i(\alpha(D) - u - \Delta)_1(-m-n)_2)\,\theta[\alpha(D) - \int_{\gamma_1} \omega - \Delta](\Omega)^3}{\theta[\alpha(D) - \Delta](\Omega)^3}.$$

But it follows from (4) that $3u+m+n=0$, so that $m+n=-3\,u$ and

$$\phi(D)=\phi(R_1)\phi(R_2)\phi(R_3)=E'\cdot\varepsilon(D)\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(D)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(D)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3,$$

where $\varepsilon(D)=\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(D)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta)_1\alpha(P_0)_2)$. □

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notations, one has*

$$\lambda=\varepsilon_\lambda\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3,$$

where $\varepsilon_\lambda=\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(P_\lambda-P_1)_1\alpha(P_0)_2+(\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\Delta)_1(2\Delta-3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))_2))$.

Proof. We apply Corollary 2.1 twice, to the divisors $D_1=P_1+2P_\lambda$ and $D_2=2P_1+P_\lambda$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda=\frac{\phi(P_1)\phi(P_\lambda)^2}{\phi(P_1)^2\phi(P_\lambda)}&=\frac{E'\varepsilon(D_1)\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3}{E'\varepsilon(D_2)\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3}\\&=\frac{\varepsilon(D_1)}{\varepsilon(D_2)}\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\Delta](\Omega)}\frac{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Thus, using (2) and (3) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta[\alpha(D_2)-\Delta](\Omega)&=\theta[-\alpha(D_2)+\Delta](\Omega)\\&=\theta[\alpha(D_1)-\Delta+\underbrace{(2\Delta+3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))}_{\in\mathbb{Z}^6}](\Omega)\\&=\exp(2\pi i(\alpha(D_1)-\Delta)_1(2\Delta-3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))_2)\theta[\alpha(D_1)-\Delta](\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

so that (6) becomes

$$\lambda=\varepsilon_\lambda\left(\frac{\theta[\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}{\theta[\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta](\Omega)}\right)^3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_\lambda&=\frac{\varepsilon(D_1)}{\varepsilon(D_2)}\exp(2\pi i(\alpha(D_1)-\Delta)_1(2\Delta-3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))_2)^3\\&=\frac{\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta)_1\alpha(P_0)_2)}{\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(2P_1+P_\lambda)-\alpha(P_0)-\Delta)_1\alpha(P_0)_2)}\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(D_1)-\Delta)_1(2\Delta-3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))_2)\\&=\exp(6\pi i(\alpha(P_\lambda-P_1)_1\alpha(P_0)_2+(\alpha(P_1+2P_\lambda)-\Delta)_1(2\Delta-3\alpha(P_1+P_\lambda))_2))\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

□

Remark 2.2. *Compare the above formulas with the ones given in [8, Eq. 9]. The formulas there do not hold for a general period matrix due to the absence of the precise root of unity. An alternative route is to follow the original work by Picard [12, p. 131] where a particular form of the period matrix Ω is fixed (see also Shiga [13, Proposition I-3]).*

3 Algorithm overview

In this section we give a quick overview of the algorithm, and we refer the reader to the original paper [8] for further details. We fix a sextic cyclic CM field K containing the third roots of unity. This hypothesis ensures that the simple principally polarized abelian varieties of CM-type by K do arise as Jacobians of Picard curves.

The first step is to list all the isomorphism classes of simple principally polarized abelian varieties of CM-type by the ring of integers of K. Every isomorphism class is given by a tuple $(\Phi, \mathfrak{b}, \xi)$ where Φ is a primitive CM type, $\mathfrak{b}$ is a fractional ideal such that $(\delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{b}\bar{\mathfrak{b}})^{-1} = (\xi)$ is principal, where $\delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the different of K, and moreover $\xi \in K$ satisfies: $-\xi^2$ is totally positive in K_0, and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi(\xi)) > 0$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Koike and Weng describe an algorithm to list all the isomorphism classes in [8, Section 3], and we use the implementation in [4] with some minor modifications.

Given a tuple $(\Phi, \mathfrak{b}, \xi)$ as above, we construct the principally polarized abelian variety $A = \mathbb{C}^3/\Phi(\mathfrak{b})$ and we then obtain a period matrix of A choosing a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $b_1, \ldots, b_6$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ that is symplectic with respect to the polarization

$$E_\xi(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi \overline{x} y)\,.$$

From the 3×6 period matrix

$$\Pi = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = (\phi(b_j))_{\phi\in\Phi,\ 1\le j\le 6},$$

we define the normalized period matrix $\Omega = \Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1 \in \mathbf{H}_3$.

Next, we need to determine the points in $A \simeq \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ that would correspond to the branch points $\alpha(P_i)$. Note that the Riemann constant $\Delta = \alpha(2P_\infty)$ is a 2-torsion point and, by the Riemann vanishing theorem, we have that

$$\theta(z, \Omega) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = \Delta - \alpha(Q_1 + Q_2) \text{ with } Q_i \in C.$$

In order to characterize the values of $\alpha(P_i)$ we consider the endomorphism of A induced by the automorphism $\rho(x, y) = (x, \zeta_3 y)$ in C, that we still denote by $\rho \in \mathrm{End}(A)$. Since $\rho(P_i) = P_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \lambda, \mu, \infty\}$, one has

$$\alpha(P_i) \in \mathrm{Jac}(C)[1 - \rho] \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}(C)[3].$$

Note that $\sum_{i\neq\infty} \alpha(P_i) \equiv 0$, due to $(y) = P_0 + P_1 + P_\lambda + P_\mu - 4P_\infty$, and also $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[1 - \rho] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^3$ since $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]^3$ (see [12]).

Consider now the 15 points $\alpha(P_i + P_j)$, $i, j \in \{0, 1, \lambda, \mu, \infty\}$. By the Riemann vanishing theorem, these points belong to the zero locus of the Riemann theta function. Since C is non-hyperelliptic, it follows that all 15 points are different. Then, the group $G = \langle \alpha(P_0), \alpha(P_1), \alpha(P_\lambda), \alpha(P_\mu)\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}(C)[1 - \rho]$ contains all 15 elements above. Therefore, we have $G = \mathrm{Jac}(C)[1 - \rho]$. For computational purposes, one has:

Proposition 3.1. *With the above notations, let $R = \mathrm{diag}(\phi(\zeta_3))_{\phi\in\Phi}$, and let M be the symplectic representation of ρ associated to the normalized period matrix Ω, which is determined by the equation*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\Omega_2^{-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\Omega_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & \mathrm{Id}_3 \\ \overline{\Omega} & \mathrm{Id}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & \mathrm{Id}_3 \\ \overline{\Omega} & \mathrm{Id}_3 \end{pmatrix} M^t.$$

Then, it follows:

(i) *Δ is the unique characteristic in $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})^6$ such that $4\Delta_1^t\Delta_2$ is odd and $M \cdot \Delta = \Delta$.*

(ii) *$\mathrm{Ker}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(1 - M) \cong \mathrm{Jac}(C)[1 - \rho]$, and there are 15 elements in*

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{z \in \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Ker}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(1 - M) \colon \theta[z + \Delta](\Omega) = 0\}.$$

(iii) *An appropriate choice $\{D_0, D_1, D_\lambda, D_\mu\} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ produces $D_i = \alpha(P_i)$ and can be used to compute λ and μ.*

In order to obtain an isomorphic curve defined over the field of moduli of C, we can compute the absolute invariants j_1 and j_2 of C following [3, Appendix I].

First, a translation brings $C \colon y^3 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda)(x - \mu)$ to the isomorphic model

$$C' : y^3 = x^4 + g_2x^2 + g_3x + g_4,$$

and then the absolute invariants are

$$j_1 = \frac{g_3^2}{g_2^3}, \qquad j_2 = \frac{g_4}{g_2^2}\,.$$

To recognize j_1 and j_2 as algebraic numbers, we have to compute λ and μ with enough precision. We proceed to truncate the infinite series defining the Riemann Theta function to a hypercube big enough to get the desired precision. This precision, indeed, also depends on the value of η_1, the smallest eigenvalue of the imaginary part of Ω [2]. In particular, if we want to bound the error by ε we restrict ourselves to the hypercube $[-N, N]^3$ where

$$N > \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\pi \eta_1}}.$$

To optimize the computations we need to chose Ω maximizing the smallest eigenvalue η_1. A brute-force approach consists on finding equivalent period matrices under the symplectic action of $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{Z})$ by using a set of generators of the group and iterating through it. Another more efficient approach has been developed and implemented [6], which is based on the LLL reduction algorithm applied to the imaginary part of the matrix Ω.

4 Picard curves of CM-type data

In this section we list all the Picard curves of CM-type by a sextic cyclic field K with class number $h \leq 4$. We add 23 new curves to the 5 given by Koike and Weng. Among the 23 new curves, five of them are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which completes the entire list of Picard curves of CM type defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [5, Theorem 4.3.1]). The remaining 18 come in trios of Galois conjugate curves defined over cubic fields.

We get the sextic fields from [11, Table 3], where the complete list of all imaginary abelian sextic number fields with class number $h \leq 11$ is given. We limited ourselves here to $h \leq 4$, although one can find other adequate number fields there, for which the algorithm works too. In the list below, h denotes the class number field of $K = K_0(\zeta_3)$, h^+ the class number of the totally real cubic subfield K_0, and $h^* = h/h^+$ the relative class number.

4.1 Case $h = 1$

The following curves correspond to those appearing at [8, Section 6.1].

- $y^3 = x^4 - x$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - 3\nu - 1$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 7^2 \cdot 2\, x^2 + 7^2 \cdot 2^3\, x - 7^3$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - \nu^2 - 2\nu + 1$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 13 \cdot 2 \cdot 7^2\, x^2 + 2^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 47\, x + 5^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 13^2$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - \nu^2 - \nu - 1$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 73 \cdot 7 \cdot 2 \cdot 31\, x^2 + 2^{11} \cdot 47 \cdot 31\, x - 7 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 11593$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 + \nu^2 - 10\nu - 8$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 223 \cdot 43^2\, x^2 + 3^7 \cdot 11 \cdot 41 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 59\, x - 11^2 \cdot 43^3 \cdot 419 \cdot 431$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - \nu^2 - 14\nu - 8$.

4.2 Case $h = 3$

4.2.1 Subcase $h^* = 1$

- $y^3 = x^4 - 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2\, x^2 + 2^9 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 71\, x - 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 2621$, defined K_0 given by $\nu^3 - 21\nu - 28$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 37\, x^2 + 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 149 \cdot 257\, x - 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 2683$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - 21\nu + 35$.
- $y^3 = x^4 - 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\, x^2 + 2^7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 59 \cdot 149\, x - 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 17669$, with K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - 39\nu + 26$.

4.2.2 Subcase $h^* = 3$

- For K_0 defined by $\nu^3 - \nu^2 - 6\nu + 7$, and $w^3 = 19$,

$$y^3 = x^4 + (10w^2 - 2w - 70)\, x^2 + (96w^2 - 7w - 496)\, x + (235w^2 - 215w - 1101).$$

- For K_0 defined by $\nu^3-\nu^2-12\nu-11$, and $w^3=37$,

$$y^3 = x^4 + (-2366w^2+490w+24626)\,x^2 + (-257958w^2-686928w+5152928)\,x \\ + (1226851w^2-56922233w+176054907).$$

- For K_0 defined by $\nu^3-109\nu-436$, and $w^3=109$,

$$y^3 = x^4 + \big(1115888872w^2-4007074778w-6321528472\big)\,x^2 \\ + \big(-39141169182336w^2+294349080537984w-512926132238464\big)\,x \\ + 816342009554519305w^2-927632462242860504 8w+25684086855493144296.$$

- For K_0 defined by $\nu^3-\nu^2-42\nu-80$, and $w^3=127$,

$$y^3 = x^4 + \big(-92075757704w^2+319193013538w+721950578888\big)\,x^2 \\ + \big(-49404281036538240w^2-18281746350539328 0w+2167183294305193600\big)\,x \\ + 216905110270037364330 25w^2-1188030290867220544980 0w+49134882128483485627800.$$

4.3 Case $h=h^*=4$

In these cases, one gets exactly a curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and 3 Galois conjugate curves defined over K_0.

- For K_0 defined by $v^3-61v-183$, we have four curves. The first one is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

$$y^3 = x^4 - 2^2\cdot 7^3\cdot 61\cdot 419\cdot 1289^2\cdot 4663\,x^2 + 2^2\cdot 7^4\cdot 11\cdot 61\cdot 419^2\cdot 1289^2\cdot 4663^2\,x \\ - 2^7\cdot 3^{11}\cdot 7^3\cdot 11^2\cdot 41^2\cdot 53^2\cdot 419^2\cdot 1289\cdot 4663^2$$

$$y^3 = x^4 + \big(89264v^2-547484v-4059720\big)\,x^2 + \big(-29558196v^2+49526073v+772138494\big)\,x \\ + 88325678v^2-16281030326v-72348132021$$

- For K_0 defined by $v^3-v^2-22v-5$, similarly one gets:

$$y^3 = x^4 + 2\cdot 7\cdot 67\cdot 179\,x^2 + 2^3\cdot 3^3\cdot 5\cdot 67\cdot 137\,x + 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 67^2\cdot 71\cdot 89$$

$$y^3 = x^4 + \big(12222v^2-263088v-1290744\big)\,x^2 + \big(-19721880v^2+232016400v+1277237160\big)\,x \\ + 11453819175v^2-62791404525v-447679991475\,.$$

Remark 4.1. *We observe that for each one of the curves listed above, the corresponding unramified field $K(j_1,j_2)$ over K coincides with the Hilbert class field of K, except for the cases $h=h^*=4$ where $K(j_1,j_2)=K$ (see [14, Main Theorem 1]).*

Remark 4.2. *With regard to the results above, since they have been obtained from numerical approximations, we have different options to check their correctness. A probabilistic approach consists on computing several local factors of the corresponding Hasse-Weil L-functions and observe the abundant presence of supersingular primes. A deterministic approach consists on constructing an appropriate Hecke Grössencharakter ψ of the CM field K and check that the local factors of the L-functions attached to the curve and to ψ agree for sufficiently many primes, so that one can apply Serre-Faltings criterion and prove that the found Picard curve is indeed of CM-type by the given field (see the example provided in [9]).*

References

[1] J.S. Balakrishnan, S. Ionica, K. Lauter, and C. Vincent. Constructing genus-3 hyperelliptic jacobians with CM. *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, 19(A):283–300, 2016.

[2] J. Frauendiener and C. Klein. Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces in Matlab. In *Computational approach to Riemann surfaces*, volume 2013 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 125–162. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[3] R.-P. Holzapfel. *The ball and some Hilbert problems*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995. Appendix I by J. Estrada Sarlabous.

[4] K. Lauter J. S. Balakrishnan, S. Ionica and C. Vincent. Genus 3. Available at https://github.com/christellevincent/genus3.

[5] P. Kılıçer. *The CM class number one problem for curves*. PhD thesis, Leiden University, 2016.

[6] P. Kılıçer and M. Streng. LLL reduction of period matrices of genus 3. Available at https://bitbucket.org/pkilicer/period-matrices-for-genus-3-cm-curves/.

[7] K. Koike and H. Shiga. Isogeny formulas for the Picard modular form and a three terms arithmetic geometric mean. *J. Number Theory*, 124(1):123–141, 2007.

[8] K. Koike and A. Weng. Construction of CM Picard curves. *Math. Comp.*, 74(249):499–518 (electronic), 2005.

[9] J.-C. Lario and A. Somoza. The Sato-Tate conjecture for a Picard curve with Complex Multiplication (with an appendix by F. Fité). *To appear at Proceedings in honor to Professor Fumiyuki Momose, Contemporary Mathematics*, 2014.

[10] K. Matsumoto and H. Shiga. A variant of Jacobi type formula for Picard curves. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 62(1):305–319, 2010.

[11] Y.-H. Park and S.-H. Kwon. Determination of all imaginary abelian sextic number fields with class number ≤ 11. *Acta Arith.*, 82(1):27–43, 1997.

[12] E. Picard. Sur des fonctions de deux variables indépendantes analogues aux fonctions modulaires. *Acta Math.*, 2(1):114–135, 1883.

[13] H. Shiga. On the representation of the Picard modular function by θ constants. I, II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 24(3):311–360, 1988.

[14] G. Shimura and Y. Taniyama. *Complex multiplication of abelian varieties and its applications to number theory*, volume 6 of *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan*. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1961.

[15] C. L. Siegel. *Topics in complex function theory. Vol. II*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988. Automorphic functions and abelian integrals.